1	Jennifer L. Braster Nevada Bar No. 9982				
2	Andrew J. Sharples Nevada Bar No. 12866				
3	NAYLOR & BRASTER 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200				
4	Las Vegas, NV 89145 (T) (702) 420-7000 (F) (702) 420-7001				
5	jbraster@naylorandbrasterlaw.com asharples@naylorandbrasterlaw.com				
6 7	Adam W. Wiers (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Christopher A. Hall (<i>pro hac vice</i>)				
8	Jones Day 77 W. Wacker Ave. Chicago, IL 60601				
9	Attorneys for Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc.				
	Experient Information Solutions, Inc.				
1112	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
13					
14	LOUIS A. CARDINALI,	Case No. 2:16-cv-02046-JAD-NJK			
1516	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND			
10	v.	MOTION DEADLINES			
17	DI LISEOLID, INC. D.C. WILL EV HOME	[Fourth Request]			
18	PLUSFOUR, INC; RC WILLEY HOME FURNISHINGS; WEBBANK/DELL FINANCIAL SERVICES; EQUIFAX				
19	INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC; EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS,				
20	INC.,				
21	Defendants.				
22	Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, Plain	☐ ntiff Louis A. Cardinali ("Plaintiff") and Experiar			
23					
24	Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereb				

Pursuant to LR 6-1 and LR 26-4, Plaintiff Louis A. Cardinali ("Plaintiff") and Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and request that this Court extend the dispositive motion deadline and class certification deadlines only by 14 days. While this is the fourth request to extend deadlines, this is the first request to extend the dispositive motion and class certification deadlines only. In support of this

•

Stipulation, the parties state as follows:

2

A. <u>DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE</u>

4

Presently, the active parties to this case are Plaintiff and Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian"), and as such, the recitation of discovery shall be with respect to Plaintiff and Experian.

5

1. Plaintiff filed his initial complaint on 8/29/2016. ECF Dkt. 1.

6

2. Experian answered the complaint on 10/3/2016. ECF Dkt. 16.

7

3. The Court entered its initial scheduling order on 12/9/2016. ECF Dkt. 31.

9

4. Plaintiff moved for leave to amend his Complaint on 1/3/2017. ECF Dkt. 34.

10

5. The Court entered its protective order on 1/4/2017. ECF Dkt. 35.

11

6. The Court granted Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend his complaint on 4/19/2017. ECF Dkt. 56 (minutes).

12

7. Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint on 4/19/2017. ECF Dkt. 57.

13 14

8. Experian answered the First Amended Complaint on 4/28/17. ECF Dkt. 58.

15

9. The Court granted the parties' stipulated Amended Scheduling Order on

16

10/13/2017. ECF Dkt. 67.

17

10. On October 25, 2017, Experian propounded its first set of Requests for Production of Documents, Requests for Admission, and Interrogatories on Plaintiff.

1819

11. On November 9, 2017, Plaintiff propounded his first set of Requests for Production

20

of Documents, Requests for Admission, and Interrogatories on Experian.

2122

12. On November 23, 2017, Plaintiff responded to Experian's first set of Requests for Production of Documents, Requests for Admission, and Interrogatories.

2324

13. On December 11, 2017, Experian responded to Plaintiff's first set of Requests for Production of Documents, Requests for Admission, and Interrogatories.

2526

14. On December 12, 2017, Experian sent Plaintiff a 26-7 letter outlining various concerns about Experian's written discovery responses.

27

28

1	15.	On December 28, 2017, Plaintiff sent Experian a 26-7 letter outlining various
2		concerns about Experian's written discovery responses.
3	16.	On January 8, 2017, the parties met and conferred for approximately four hours
4		regarding their respective 26-7 letters, and reached numerous final and tentative
5		agreements to supplement their requests.
6	17.	On February 20, 2018, Plaintiff propounded his Second Set of Requests for
7		Production of Documents on Experian.
8	18.	On March 22, 2018, Experian responded to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for
9		Production of Documents.
10	19.	On March 22, 2018, Experian propounded its Deposition Notice on Plaintiff,
11		tentatively setting the deposition for April 18, 2018.
12	20.	On March 30, 2018, Plaintiff propounded his Deposition Notice of 30(b)(6)
13		deposition on Experian, tentatively setting the deposition for May 11, 2018.
14	21.	On April 10, 2018, Plaintiff propounded his First Amended Deposition Notice of
15		30(b)(6) deposition on Experian, tentatively setting the deposition for May 17,
16		2018.
17	22.	On April 27, 2018, Plaintiff propounded a Third Amended Deposition Notice of
18		30(b)(b) deposition on Experian, setting the deposition for June 13, 2018.
19	23.	On May 10, 2018, Experian conducted the deposition of Plaintiff.
20	24.	On May 15, 2018, the parties conferred regarding the status of Experian's discovery
21		responses.
22	25.	On or about May 21, 2018, Experian propounded a subpoena duces tecum and
23		subpoena for testimony to Haines & Krieger, LLC. Subsequent to these subpoenas,
24		motion practice ensued. The Court denied Haines & Krieger, LLC's request to
25		quash the deposition subpoena. That deposition occurred and there is currently
26		ongoing motion practice relating to that deposition and the subpoena duces tecum.
27		
20		

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
	6	
1	7	
	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	

1

- 26. The parties have continued to update and supplement prior discovery responses and disclosures.
- 27. The deposition of Experian's 30(b)(6) designee occurred on July 19, 2018.
- 28. The deposition of Amy Cardinali occurred on November 20, 2018.
- 29. The deposition of Plaintiff's expert, Stan Smith, occurred on December 3, 2018, and the deposition of Plaintiff's other expert, Dean Binder, is set for December 13, 2018.
- 30. Plaintiff served additional discovery requests on December 7, 2018.
- 31. The parties anticipate setting Experian's expert's deposition for January 29, 2019.

B. Specific Description of Discovery that Remains to be Completed

- 1. Experian's responses to the written discovery served on December 7, 2018, to be completed within the discovery period.
- 2. Deposition of Experian's expert witness, which the parties agreed may be taken after the close of discovery.

C. Reasons Why the Remaining Discovery Was Not Completed

The parties aver, pursuant to LR 6-1, that good cause exists for the requested extension. The parties have diligently pursued discovery and anticipate completing all remaining discovery prior to the close of the discovery period, which is January 7, 2019. The only exception is the deposition of Experian's expert. Due to previously scheduled international travel of the witness and the holidays, the parties have been unable to complete Experian's expert's deposition. The expert is available on January 29, 2019, and the parties respectfully request a two-week extension as to the dispositive motion deadline and class certification deadline to accommodate taking this expert's deposition after the close of the discovery period. The parties are not seeking to conduct any additional discovery post-close of discovery and do not seek to extend that deadline otherwise.¹

26

27

¹ Experian and Plaintiff acknowledge the Court previously stated that no other extensions will be granted. The parties respectfully request this brief extension as to the dispositive motion and class certification deadline only to accommodate the witness's previously scheduled travel

D. <u>Proposed Discovery Deadlines</u>

1

2	<u>Event</u>	Current Deadl	ine	Proposed New Deadline		
	Dispositive Motions	February 5, 20		February 19, 2019		
3	Motion for Class Certification	February 5, 20	19	February 19, 2019		
4	Pre-Trial Order	March 7, 201	9	March 21, 2019		
5	Dated this 10th day of Decemb	er, 2018.				
6	/s/ Miles N. Clark		/s/ Christe	opher A. Hall		
7	Matthew I. Knepper, Esq. (NBN Miles N. Clark, Esq. (NBN 138-		Adam W. Christoph	Wiers (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) uer A. Hall (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)		
8	KNEPPER & CLARK LLC 10040 W. Cheyenne Ave., Suite	170_109	JONES D	OAY acker Ave.		
9	Las Vegas, NV 89129	2 1 7 0 - 1 0 7	Chicago,			
10	David H. Krieger, Esq. (NBN 9 HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC	086)		r L Braster <u>(NBN 9982)</u> OR & BRASTER		
11	8985 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 3 Henderson, Nevada 89123	350	1050 Indi Las Vega	go Drive, Suite 200 s, NV 89145		
12	Sean N. Payne, Esq. (NBN 132)	16)		for Defendant Experian on Solutions, Inc.		
13	PAYNE LAW FIRM LLC 9550 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 253	,				
14	Las Vegas, NV 89123					
15	Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Zimmerman Law Offices, P.C.					
16	77 West Washington St., Suite 1 Chicago, IL 60602					
17	Mohammed O. Badwan (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)					
18	SULAIMAN LAW GROUP, L7 2500 S. Highland Ave., Suite 20		NO	FURTHER EXTENSIONS		
19	Lombard, IL 60148 Attorneys for Plaintiff		_	L BE GRANTED.		
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u>ORDER</u>				
21	IT IS SO ORDERED					
22	Dated: December 12, 2018		16			
23		UNITED	STATES	MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
24						
25						
26						
20						

NAYLOR & BRASTER ATTORNEYS AT LAW 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145 (702) 420-7000

27

28

and the holidays.